

## UNITED STATES D' ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Traden k Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE

09/072,542

APPLICATION NUMBER

05/05/98

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

LUDW16

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. L

CGLB001-2

CRAIG P. OFFERMAN COOLEY GODWARD LLP FIVE PALO ALATO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO CA 94306

EXAMINER LM02/0802' DINH, D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2757 **>** 

DATE MAILED:

08/02/99

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| OFFICE ACTION SUMN  | MARY  |
|---|---|
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |
| ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   |   |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O   | J.G. 213.   |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire—whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to rethe application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 1.136(a). | month(s), or thirty days, espond within the period for response will cause may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |
| S Claim(s)  | is/are pending in the application.  |
| Of the above, claim(s)  | is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.   |
| \$PClaim(s)   | is/are rejected.  |
| Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.   |
| Claims  | are subject to restriction or election requirement  |
| Application Papers  | •   |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-   | -948.   |
| The drawing(s) filed oni  | is/are objected to by the Examiner.   |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on   |   |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d).   |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do   | ocuments have been  |
| received.   |   |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)   | ·   |
| $\square$ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau   |   |
| *Certified copies not received:   | •   |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.  | ······································  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |
| ☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  |   |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).   |   |
| Interview Summary, PTO-413  |   |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948   |   |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152  |   |
|   |   |

Serial Number: 09/072,542

Art Unit: 2757

## DETAILED ACTION

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985) In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims of copending Application No.09/072,549. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Serial Number: 09/072,542

Art Unit: 2757

Claim 1 of Application 09/072,549 recites:

09/ 72 527 Claim 1 of 08/877,082

a video communication system

comprising:

a) at least one analog videosignal source;

- b) at least one video display device;
- c) at least one control communication component ...
- d) an unshielded twisted pair of wires defining a UTP communication path, arranged for video-signal transportation.

Claim 1 of present application

A videoconferencing system comprising:

- a) at least one video signal source;
- b) at least one video display device;
- d) at least one processor  $\dots$
- c) at least one unshielded twisted pair of wires ...

The same rationale for double patenting applies to other claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Serial Number: 09/072,542

Art Unit: 2757

Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness double patenting over claims of U.S. patent No. 5,617,539.

The patent 5,617,539 claimed essentially all limitations of the present application except for the limitation of the video path being a UTP path. The UPT path is fully disclosed in the patent 5,617,539.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (703) 305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

## or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 305-9731 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner